

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

en

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,483	11/28/2003	Sumitada Yamamoto	00684.003549	1150
5514	7590 06/29/2004		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			RUTLEDGE, DELLA J	
NEW YORK, NY 10112		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2851	

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\Delta\!\Delta$			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/722,483	YAMAMOTO, SUMITADA				
Offic Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit	-			
	D. Rutledge	2851	_			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perions  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may be arred patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON- tute, cause the application to become AB	oply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  FHS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the applicatio	n					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a		ov the Evaminer				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	,					
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	an priority under 35 LLS C. S.	119(a) (d) or (f)				
a)⊠ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:  1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume		119(a)-(u) or (i).				
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received in Ap	oplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	riority documents have been	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	ist of the certified copies not i	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/C Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>11/03</u> .	6) \( \bigcirc  Notice of in the control of t	formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/722,483 Page 2

Art Unit: 2851

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### **Priority**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tsukamoto (US 2002/0000519).

Tsukamoto has a semiconductor exposure apparatus (the light source, not shown is inherent). A closed optical system is shown at least in Fig. 1. Paragraphs [0041, 0077] disclose that a switching valve (14) adjusts the flow rate of the supplied gas in accord with the state of exposure operation. The flow rate is restricted during the exposure period. In paragraphs [0002, 0075] an excimer laser source, such as ArF and KrF is suggested. Paragraph [0007] states that the purging gas is inactive.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,483 Page 3

Art Unit: 2851

5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsukamoto (US 2002/0000519) alone or in view of Arakawa (US 2002/0057422). Tsukamoto does not disclose further manufacturing steps, one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have known that exposing the mask onto the substrate in only one of the steps in producing a semiconductor device and would have recognized that other steps were needed. Arakawa is an example of a reference that discloses a semiconductor exposure apparatus and further manufacturing steps, such as developing and etching (see Fig. 11). One of ordinary skill in the art, then would have been motivated to disclose other steps to produce the completed semiconductor device.

## Response Data

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. Rutledge whose telephone number is (571) 272-2127. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs, 6:00 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/722,483 Page 4

Art Unit: 2851

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. Rutledge

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2851

dr 6/28/2004